

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/763,029               | 04/10/2001      | Manfred Schaefer     | 1454.1048/RA            | 1983             |
| 21171 75                 | 90 05/20/2005   |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP       |                 |                      | SHERKAT, AREZOO         |                  |
| SUITE 700<br>1201 NEW YO | RK AVENUE, N.W. |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005     |                 |                      | 2131                    |                  |
|                          |                 | •                    | DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004 | ς .              |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|  | 09/763,029   | SCHAEFER, MANFRED   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  | Arezoo Sherkat   | 2131  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed  ys will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 January 2005</u> .  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is  |  |   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-15,18,19 and 21-25</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,5,6,8-15,18,19 and 21-25</u> is/are rejected.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>4 and 7</u> is/are objected to.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | r election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 January 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <br>  12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |   |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |  |   |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | of the certified copies not receive  | ed.   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(c)  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary   | (PTO-413)   |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail D   | ate   |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/10/01.  | 5) Notice of Informal F  | Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A   | ction Summary P  | art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050512   |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2131

# Response to Amendment

This office action is responsive to Applicant's Amendment received on January 11, 2005. Claims 1-2, 16-17, and 20 are cancelled. Claims 3-15 and 18-19 are amended. Claims 21-25 are added.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-15, 18-19, and 21-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 3-15, 18-19, and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baum et al., (U.S. Patent No. 5,023,773 and Baum hereinafter).

Regarding claims 3, 5-6, 8, and 18-19, Baum discloses wherein a call of the accessing process is forwarded to a checking function in which the check takes place, and wherein the checking function is integrated into an operating system core of an operating system with which the programs are executed (Col. 22, lines 5-60).

Regarding claims 9-13, Baum discloses wherein after the integrity test of the protection program, the integrity of all processes contained in the process files is

Art Unit: 2131

checked and the method according to claim 21 is executed only if the integrity of all of the processes contained in the process files is assured. (Col. 13, lines 19-67).

Regarding claims 21 and 22, Baum discloses a method for protecting several programs from unauthorized access by processes, comprising:

assigning an address space to each program file to be protected, assigning a process file, separate from the program file, to each program file to be protected, where the process includes at least one cryptographic value, each uniquely identifying a process that may run in the address space, determining, during execution of each program in each program file to be protected, for each accessing process that attempts to access the address space of the program file, whether the accessing process is listed in the process file assigned to the program file by forming an accessing cryptographic value for each accessing process, and comparing the accessing cryptographic value with the cryptographic value stored in the process 5le for each accessing process listed in the process file, and at least one of starting and continuing execution of the accessing process only if said comparing determines a match between the accessing cryptographic value and the cryptographic value stored in the process file for the accessing process (Col 22, lines 5-67 and Col. 23-25, lines 1-67).

Regarding claims 23-25, Baum discloses a set of several arrays and a server array connected with each of the several arrays, to protect several programs from unauthorized access by a process, comprising:

Art Unit: 2131

Page 4

in each of the several arrays a processor programmed to assign an address space and a process file to each program file to be protected, where the process file is separate from the program file and includes a cryptographic value that uniquely identifies each process that may run in the address space, to determine, during execution of each program in each program file to be protected, for each accessing process that attempts to access the address space of the program file, whether the accessing process is listed in the process file assigned to the program file by forming an accessing cryptographic value for each accessing process and comparing the accessing cryptographic value with the cryptographic value stored in the process file for each accessing process listed in the process file, and to at least one of start and continue execution of the accessing process only if a match is found between the accessing cryptographic value and the cryptographic value stored in the process file for the accessing process (Col. 10, lines 56-67 and Col. 11-13, lines 1-67).

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2131

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arezoo Sherkat whose telephone number is (571) 272-3796. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arezoo Sherkat Patent Examiner

Group 2131 May 16, 2005 AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 5